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Table S1. List of descriptors used in the Combinatorial Descriptor Search ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Code | Descripton | Number of Occurences ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\log$ (PAMPA) | Log of parallel artificial membrane permeability | 10 |
| $\log$ (Caco-2) | Log of permeability coefficient for transport into Caco-2 cells | 1 |
| $\log$ P-neutral | Log of the concentrations of un-ionized compound between water and octanol |  |
| $\log \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{pH} 7.4$ | Log of the ratio of the sum of concentrations of solute's various forms between water and octanol |  |
| $\operatorname{clog} \mathrm{P}$ | Log P calculated by Sybyl 7.31 |  |
| mlogP | Measured log P calculated by Sybyl $7.3^{1}$ |  |
| diameter | Largest value in the distance matrix |  |
| petitjean | Value of (diameter - radius) / diameter. |  |
| petitjeanSC | Petitjean graph Shape Coefficient²: (diameter radius) / radius. |  |
| VDistEq | If $m$ is the sum of the distance matrix entries then VdistEq is defined to be the sum of $\log _{2} m-$ $p_{i} \log _{2} p_{i} / m$ where $p_{i}$ is the number of distance matrix entries equal to $i$. |  |
| VDistMa | If $m$ is the sum of the distance matrix entries then VDistMa is defined to be the sum of $\log _{2} m$ $D_{i j} \log _{2} D_{i j} / m$ over all $i$ and $j$. |  |
| weinerPath | Wiener path number: half the sum of all the distance matrix entries ${ }^{3,4}$ |  |
| weinerPol | Wiener polarity number: half the sum of all the distance matrix entries with a value of $3^{3}$ |  |
| BCUT_PEOE_0 BCUT_PEOE_1 BCUT_PEOE_2 BCUT_PEOE_3 | The BCUT descriptors ${ }^{5}$ are calculated from the eigenvalues of a modified adjacency matrix. Each $i j$ entry of the adjacency matrix takes the value $1 / \operatorname{sqrt}\left(b_{i j}\right)$ where $b_{i j}$ is the formal bond order between bonded atoms $i$ and $j$. The diagonal takes the value of the PEOE partial charges. The resulting eigenvalues are sorted and the smallest, 1/3-ile, 2/3-ile and largest eigenvalues are reported. | 1 |
| BCUT_SLOGP_0 <br> BCUT_SLOGP_1 <br> BCUT_SLOGP_2 <br> BCUT_SLOGP_3 | The BCUT descriptors using atomic contribution to $\log P$ (using the Wildman and Crippen SlogP method) instead of partial charge ${ }^{6}$. | 1 |
| BCUT_SMR_0 <br> BCUT_SMR_1 <br> BCUT_SMR_2 <br> BCUT_SMR_3 | The BCUT descriptors using atomic contribution to molar refractivity (using the Wildman and Crippen SMR method) instead of partial charge ${ }^{6}$. |  |
| GCUT_PEOE_0 GCUT_PEOE_1 GCUT_PEOE_2 GCUT_PEOE_3 | The GCUT descriptors are calculated from the eigenvalues of a modified graph distance adjacency matrix. Each $i j$ entry of the adjacency matrix takes the value $1 / \operatorname{sqr}\left(d_{i j}\right)$ where $d_{i j}$ is the (modified) graph distance between atoms $i$ and $j$. The diagonal takes the value of the PEOE partial charges. The resulting eigenvalues are sorted and the smallest, 1/3-ile, 2/3ile and largest eigenvalues are reported. |  |


| Code | Descripton | Number of Occurences ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GCUT_SLOGP_0 <br> GCUT_SLOGP_1 <br> GCUT_SLOGP_2 <br> GCUT_SLOGP_3 | The GCUT descriptors using atomic contribution to $\log \mathrm{P}$ (using the Wildman and Crippen SlogP method) instead of partial charge ${ }^{6}$. | 3 |
| GCUT_SMR_0 GCUT_SMR_1 GCUT_SMR_2 GCUT_SMR_3 | The GCUT descriptors using atomic contribution to molar refractivity (using the Wildman and Crippen SMR method) instead of partial charge ${ }^{6}$. | 1 |
| a_count | Number of atoms (including implicit hydrogens). This is calculated as the sum of $\left(1+h_{i}\right)$ over all nontrivial atoms $i$. |  |
| a_IC | Atom information content (total). This is calculated to be a_ICM times $n$. |  |
| a_ICM | Atom information content (mean). This is the entropy of the element distribution in the molecule (including implicit hydrogens but not lone pair pseudo-atoms). Let $n_{i}$ be the number of occurrences of atomic number $i$ in the molecule. Let $p_{i}=n_{i} / n$ where $n$ is the sum of the $n_{i}$. The value of a_ICM is the negative of the sum over all $i$ of $p_{i} \log p_{i}$. |  |
| b_1rotN | Number of rotatable single bonds. Conjugated single bonds are not included (e.g., ester and peptide bonds). |  |
| b_1rotR | Fraction of rotatable single bonds: b_1rotN divided by b_heavy. | 1 |
| b_count | Number of bonds (including implicit hydrogens). This is calculated as the sum of $\left(d_{i} / 2+h_{i}\right)$ over all non-trivial atoms $i$. |  |
| b_rotN | Number of rotatable bonds. A bond is rotatable if it has order 1, is not in a ring, and has at least two heavy neighbors. |  |
| b_rotR | Fraction of rotatable bonds: b_rotN divided by b_heavy. | 1 |
| b_single | Number of single bonds (including implicit hydrogens). Aromatic bonds are not considered to be single bonds. |  |
| chi0v | Atomic valence connectivity index (order 0$)^{7,8}$. This is calculated as the sum of $1 / \operatorname{sqrt}\left(v_{i}\right)$ over all heavy atoms $i$ with $v_{i}>0$. |  |
| chi0v_C | Carbon valence connectivity index (order 0). This is calculated as the sum of $1 / \operatorname{sqrt}\left(v_{i}\right)$ over all carbon atoms $i$ with $v_{i}>0$. |  |
| chi1v | Atomic valence connectivity index (order 1) ${ }^{7,8}$. This is calculated as the sum of $1 / \operatorname{sqrt}\left(v_{i} v_{j}\right)$ over all bonds between heavy atoms $i$ and $j$ where $i<j$. |  |
| chi1v_C | Carbon valence connectivity index (order 1). This is calculated as the sum of $1 / \operatorname{sqrt}\left(v_{i} v_{j}\right)$ over all bonds between carbon atoms $i$ and $j$ where $i<j$. |  |


| Code | Descripton | Number of Occurences ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weight | Molecular weight (including implicit hydrogens) with atomic weights taken from CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, CRC Press (1994). |  |
| b_heavy | Number of bonds between heavy atoms. |  |
| a_nC | Number of carbon atoms: $\#\left\{Z_{i} \mid Z_{i}=6\right\}$. |  |
| chi0 | Atomic connectivity index (order 0$)^{7,8}$. This is calculated as the sum of $1 / \operatorname{sqrt}\left(d_{i}\right)$ over all heavy atoms $i$ with $d_{i}>0$. |  |
| chi0_C | Carbon connectivity index (order 0). This is calculated as the sum of $1 / \operatorname{sqrt}\left(d_{i}\right)$ over all carbon atoms $i$ with $d_{i}>0$. |  |
| chi1 | Atomic connectivity index (order 1) ${ }^{7,8}$. This is calculated as the sum of $1 / \operatorname{sqrt}\left(d_{i} d_{j}\right)$ over all bonds between heavy atoms $i$ and $j$ where $i<j$. |  |
| chi1_C | Carbon connectivity index (order 1). This is calculated as the sum of $1 / \operatorname{sqrt}\left(d_{i} d_{j}\right)$ over all bonds between carbon atoms $i$ and $j$ where $i<j$. | 1 |
| VAdjEq | Vertex adjacency information (equality): -(1-f) $\log _{2}(1-$ $f)-f \log _{2} f$ where $f=\left(n^{2}-m\right) / n^{2}, n$ is the number of heavy atoms and $m$ is the number of heavy-heavy bonds. If $f$ is not in the open interval $(0,1)$, then 0 is returned. |  |
| VAdjMa | Vertex adjacency information (magnitude): $1+\log _{2} m$ where $m$ is the number of heavy-heavy bonds. If $m$ is zero, then zero is returned. |  |
| zagreb | Zagreb index: the sum of $d_{i}{ }^{2}$ over all heavy atoms $i$. |  |
| balabanJ | Balaban's connectivity topological index ${ }^{9}$. |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC+ } \\ & \text { Q_PC+ } \\ & \text { PEOE_PC+ } \end{aligned}$ | Total positive partial charge: the sum of the positive $q_{i}$. Q_PC+ is identical to PC+ which has been retained for compatibility. | 2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PC- } \\ & \text { Q_PC- } \\ & \text { PEOE_PC- } \end{aligned}$ | Total negative partial charge: the sum of the negative $q_{i}$. Q_PC- is identical to PC- which has been retained for compatibility. |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPC+ } \\ & \text { Q_RPC+ } \\ & \text { PEOE_RPC+ } \end{aligned}$ | Relative positive partial charge: the largest positive $q_{i}$ divided by the sum of the positive $q_{i}$. Q_RPC+ is identical to RPC+ which has been retained for compatibility. | 1 |
| ```RPC- Q_RPC- PEOE_RPC-``` | Relative negative partial charge: the smallest negative $q_{i}$ divided by the sum of the negative $q_{i}$. Q_RPC- is identical to RPC- which has been retained for compatibility. | 5 |
| PEOE_VSA+0 | Sum of $v_{i}$ where $q_{i}$ is in the range [0.00,0.05). | 2 |
| PEOE_VSA+1 | Sum of $v_{i}$ where $q_{i}$ is in the range [0.05,0.10). |  |
| PEOE_VSA-0 | Sum of $v_{i}$ where $q_{i}$ is in the range [-0.05, 0.00 ). |  |
| PEOE_VSA-1 | Sum of $v_{i}$ where $q_{i}$ is in the range [-0.10,-0.05). | 1 |


| Code | Descripton | Number of <br> Occurences |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Q_VSA_FHYD | Fractional hydrophobic van der Waals surface area. <br> This is the sum of the $v_{i}$ such that $\left\|q_{i}\right\|$ is less than or <br> equal to 0.2 divided by the total surface area. The $v_{i}$ <br> are calculated using a connection table <br> approximation. | 3 |
| QEOE_VSA_FHYD |  |  |


| Code | Descripton | Number of Occurences ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lip_acc | The number of O and N atoms. |  |
| opr_nrot | The number of rotatable bonds ${ }^{10}$. |  |
| E | Value of the potential energy. The state of all term enable flags will be honored (in addition to the term weights). This means that the current potential setup accurately reflects what will be calculated. | 1 |
| E_ang | Angle bend potential energy. In the Potential Setup panel, the term enable flag is ignored, but the term weight is applied. |  |
| E_ele | Electrostatic component of the potential energy. In the Potential Setup panel, the term enable flag is ignored, but the term weight is applied. | 2 |
| E_nb | Value of the potential energy with all bonded terms disabled. The state of the non-bonded term enable flags will be honored (in addition to the term weights). | 3 |
| E_oop | Out-of-plane potential energy. In the Potential Setup panel, the term enable flag is ignored, but the term weight is applied. | 5 |
| E_sol | Solvation energy. In the Potential Setup panel, the term enable flag is ignored, but the term weight is applied. | 1 |
| E_stb | Bond stretch-bend cross-term potential energy. In the Potential Setup panel, the term enable flag is ignored, but the term weight is applied. |  |
| E_str | Bond stretch potential energy. In the Potential Setup panel, the term enable flag is ignored, but the term weight is applied. | 1 |
| E_strain | Local strain energy: the current energy minus the value of the energy at a near local minimum. The current energy is calculated as for the E descriptor. The local minimum energy is the value of the $E$ descriptor after first performing an energy minimization. Current chirality is preserved and charges are left undisturbed during minimization. The structure in the database is not modified (results of the minimization are discarded). | 1 |
| E_tor | Torsion (proper and improper) potential energy. In the Potential Setup panel, the term enable flag is ignored, but the term weight is applied. | 3 |
| E_vdw | van der Waals component of the potential energy. In the Potential Setup panel, the term enable flag is ignored, but the term weight is applied. |  |
| Kier1 | First kappa shape index: $(n-1)^{2} / m^{28}$. |  |
| Kier2 | Second kappa shape index: $(n-1)^{2} / m^{2} 8$. | 1 |
| Kier3 | Third kappa shape index: $(n-1)(n-3)^{2} / p_{3}{ }^{2}$ for odd $n$, and $(n-3)(n-2)^{2} / p_{3}{ }^{2}$ for even $n^{8}$. | 1 |


| Code | Descripton | Number of Occurences ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KierA1 | First alpha modified shape index: $s(s-1)^{2} / m^{2}$ where $s=n+a^{8}$. | 2 |
| KierA2 | Second alpha modified shape index: $s(s-1)^{2} / m^{2}$ where $s=n+a^{8}$. | 1 |
| KierA3 | Third alpha modified shape index: $(n-1)(n-3)^{2} / p_{3}{ }^{2}$ for odd $n$, and $(n-3)(n-2)^{2} / p_{3}{ }^{2}$ for even $n$ where $s=n+a^{8}$. | 1 |
| KierFlex | Kier molecular flexibility index: (KierA1) <br> (KierA2) $/ n^{8}$. |  |
| logs | Log of the aqueous solubility This property is calculated from an atom contribution linear atom type model ${ }^{11}$ with $r^{2}=0.90, \sim 1,200$ molecules. | 7 |
| apol | Sum of the atomic polarizabilities (including implicit hydrogens) with polarizabilities taken from CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, CRC Press (1994). | 1 |
| bpol | Sum of the absolute value of the difference between atomic polarizabilities of all bonded atoms in the molecule (including implicit hydrogens) with polarizabilities taken from CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, CRC Press (1994). |  |
| mr | Molecular refractivity (including implicit hydrogens). This property is calculated from an 11 descriptor linear model with $r^{2}=0.997$, RMSE $=0.168$ on 1,947 small molecules. |  |
| dipole | Dipole moment calculated from the partial charges of the molecule. |  |
| dipoleX | The $x$ component of the dipole moment (external coordinates). | 1 |
| dipoleY | The $y$ component of the dipole moment (external coordinates). | 2 |
| dipoleZ | The $z$ component of the dipole moment (external coordinates). |  |
| pmi | Principal moment of inertia. | 5 |
| pmiX | $x$ component of the principal moment of inertia (external coordinates). | 5 |
| pmiY | $y$ component of the principal moment of inertia (external coordinates). | 3 |
| pmiZ | $z$ component of the principal moment of inertia (external coordinates). | 3 |
| rgyr | Radius of gyration. |  |
| vsa_acc | Approximation to the sum of VDW surface areas of pure hydrogen bond acceptors (not counting acidic atoms and atoms that are both hydrogen bond donors and acceptors such as -OH). |  |
| vsa_hyd | Approximation to the sum of VDW surface areas of hydrophobic atoms. | 1 |


| Code | Descripton | Number of Occurences ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vsa_pol | Approximation to the sum of VDW surface areas of polar atoms (atoms that are both hydrogen bond donors and acceptors), such as -OH. |  |
| SlogP | Log of the octanol/water partition coefficient (including implicit hydrogens). This property is an atomic contribution model ${ }^{6}$ that calculates $\log P$ from the given structure; i.e., the correct protonation state (washed structures). Results may vary from the $\log \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{o} / \mathrm{w})$ descriptor. The training set for SlogP was $\sim 7000$ structures. | 11 |
| SlogP_VSA0 | Sum of $v_{i}$ such that $L_{i}<=-0.4$. |  |
| SlogP_VSA2 | Sum of $v_{i}$ such that $L_{i}$ is in (-0.2,0]. |  |
| SlogP_VSA7 | Sum of $v_{i}$ such that $L_{i}$ is in $(0.25,0.30]$. |  |
| SlogP_VSA8 | Sum of $v_{i}$ such that $L_{i}$ is in (0.30,0.40]. |  |
| SlogP_VSA9 | Sum of $v_{i}$ such that $L_{i}>0.40$. |  |
| SMR | Molecular refractivity (including implicit hydrogens). This property is an atomic contribution model ${ }^{6}$ that assumes the correct protonation state (washed structures). The model was trained on $\sim 7000$ structures and results may vary from the mr descriptor. |  |
| SMR_VSA2 | Sum of $v_{i}$ such that $R_{i}$ is in $(0.26,0.35]$. |  |
| SMR_VSA3 | Sum of $v_{i}$ such that $R_{i}$ is in $(0.35,0.39]$. |  |
| SMR_VSA5 | Sum of $v_{i}$ such that $R_{i}$ is in $(0.44,0.485]$. |  |
| SMR_VSA7 | Sum of $v_{i}$ such that $R_{i}>0.56$. |  |
| ASA | Water accessible surface area calculated using a radius of 1.4 A for the water molecule. A polyhedral representation is used for each atom in calculating the surface area. | 1 |
| ASA+ | Water accessible surface area of all atoms with positive partial charge (strictly greater than 0 ). |  |
| ASA- | Water accessible surface area of all atoms with negative partial charge (strictly less than 0 ). |  |
| ASA_H | Water accessible surface area of all hydrophobic ( $\left\|q_{i}\right\|<0.2$ ) atoms. | 4 |
| ASA_P | Water accessible surface area of all polar $\left(\left\|q_{i}\right\|>=0.2\right)$ atoms. | 1 |
| CASA+ | Positive charge weighted surface area, ASA+ times $\max \left\{q_{i}>0\right\}^{12}$. |  |
| CASA- | Negative charge weighted surface area, ASA- times $\max \left\{q_{i}<0\right\}^{12}$. |  |
| DASA | Absolute value of the difference between ASA+ and ASA- | 1 |
| DCASA | Absolute value of the difference between CASA+ and CASA- ${ }^{12}$. | 2 |


| Code | Descripton | Number of Occurences ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FASA+ | Fractional ASA+ calculated as ASA+ / ASA. |  |
| FASA- | Fractional ASA- calculated as ASA- / ASA. |  |
| FASA_H | Fractional ASA_H calculated as ASA_H / ASA. | 4 |
| FASA_P | Fractional ASA_P calculated as ASA_P / ASA. | 4 |
| FCASA+ | Fractional CASA+ calculated as CASA+ / ASA. |  |
| FCASA- | Fractional CASA- calculated as CASA- / ASA. | 2 |
| VSA | van der Waals surface area. A polyhedral representation is used for each atom in calculating the surface area. | 2 |
| TPSA | Polar surface area calculated using group contributions to approximate the polar surface area from connection table information only. The parameterization is that of Ertl et al. ${ }^{13}$. |  |
| density | Molecular mass density: Weight divided by vdw_vol. |  |
| vdw_area | Area of van der Waals surface calculated using a connection table approximation. |  |
| vdw_vol | van der Waals volume calculated using a connection table approximation. | 1 |
| dens | Mass density: molecular weight divided by van der Waals volume as calculated in the vol descriptor. |  |
| glob | Globularity, or inverse condition number (smallest eigenvalue divided by the largest eigenvalue) of the covariance matrix of atomic coordinates. A value of 1 indicates a perfect sphere while a value of 0 indicates a two- or one-dimensional object. |  |
| std_dim1 | Standard dimension 1: the square root of the largest eigenvalue of the covariance matrix of the atomic coordinates. A standard dimension is equivalent to the standard deviation along a principal component axis. |  |
| std_dim2 | Standard dimension 2: the square root of the second largest eigenvalue of the covariance matrix of the atomic coordinates. A standard dimension is equivalent to the standard deviation along a principal component axis. | 1 |
| std_dim3 | Standard dimension 3: the square root of the third largest eigenvalue of the covariance matrix of the atomic coordinates. A standard dimension is equivalent to the standard deviation along a principal component axis. |  |
| vol | van der Waals volume calculated using a grid approximation (spacing 0.75 A ). |  |
| $\log \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{o} / \mathrm{w})$ | Log of the octanol/water partition coefficient (including implicit hydrogens). This property is calculated from a linear atom type model [LOGP 1998] with $r^{2}=0.931$, RMSE $=0.393$ on 1,827 molecules. | 4 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Descript <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Times th | and their descriptions are generally taken from MOE iptor occurred in the top ranked descriptor combination | E ${ }^{14}$ |

Table S2. Top ten "enzyme (FPPS) plus 2-descriptor" combinations with their coefficients and relative contributions for the D. discoideum cell $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ predictions.
$\left.\begin{array}{llccc}\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Dictyostelium discoideum } \\ \text { (FPPS) } \\ \text { pIC (cell)= }\end{array} & \mathrm{R}^{2} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Relative } \\ \text { Importance of } \\ \text { pIC }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Relative } \\ \text { Importance of } \\ \text { Descriptor B }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Relative } \\ \text { Importance of } \\ \text { Descriptor C }\end{array} \\ \hline-20.76307 & & & \\ +0.48341 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme }\end{array}\right)$

Table S3. Top ten "enzyme (FPPS) plus 2-descriptor" combinations with their coefficients and relative contributions for the L. donovani cell $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ predictions.

| Leishmania donovani (FPPS) pIC (cell) $=$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2 \mathrm{a}}$ | Relative Importance of $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}(\text { enzyme })^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Relative Importance of Descriptor B ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Relative Importance of Descriptor C ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12.82134 <br> +0.74773 * pIC $_{50}$ Enzyme <br> -1.23653 * FCASA- <br> -14.34579 * Q_VSA_FPOS | 0.86952 | 0.269777 | 0.915872 | 1.000000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & -1.52445 \\ & +0.74773 \text { * plC }{ }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & -1.23653 \text { *FCASA- } \\ & +14.34579 \text { * Q_VSA_FNEG } \end{aligned}$ | 0.86952 | 0.269777 | 0.915872 | 1.000000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2.63995 \\ & +0.56184 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & +0.10038 * \text { SlogP } \\ & -2.72841 \text { b_rotR } \end{aligned}$ | 0.80829 | 1.000000 | 0.615015 | 0.987653 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2.63995 \\ & +0.10038 * \operatorname{SlogP} \\ & -2.72841 * \text { b_1rotR } \\ & +0.56184 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \end{aligned}$ | 0.80829 | 0.615015 | 0.987653 | 1.000000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2.43168 \\ & +0.67597 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & +0.01062 * \text { PEOE_VSA }+0 \\ & -4.40941 * \text { Q_VSA_FPOS } \end{aligned}$ | 0.80745 | 1.000000 | 0.806196 | 0.793464 |
| $\begin{aligned} & -1.97773 \\ & +0.67597 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & +0.01062 * \text { PEOEVSA } \\ & +4.40940 * \text { Q_VSA_FNEG } \end{aligned}$ | 0.80745 | 0.793464 | 0.806196 | 1.000000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2.78569 \\ & +0.67570 * \text { pIC }_{\text {sEEEnzyme }} \\ & -3.01289 * \text { Q_VSA_FPOS } \\ & +0.14382 * \text { SlogP } \end{aligned}$ | 0.80578 | 1.000000 | 0.861479 | 0.732693 |
| $\begin{aligned} & -0.22720 \\ & +0.67570 \text { * } \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & +0.14382 * \text { SlogP } \\ & +3.01289 * \text { Q_VSA_FNEG } \end{aligned}$ | 0.80578 | 1.000000 | 0.732693 | 0.861479 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5.23713 \\ & +0.35909 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & +0.00796 * \text { Q_VSA_HYD } \\ & -0.19768 * \text { KierA1 } \end{aligned}$ | 0.80375 | 0.319957 | 0.726844 | 1.000000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4.83355 \\ & +0.30356 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & -0.22903 * \text { KierA1 } \\ & +0.00805 * \text { VSA } \end{aligned}$ | 0.80300 | 0.233458 | 1.000000 | 0.738323 |

${ }^{a}$ Identical values are obtained when descriptors are linearly dependent

Table S4. Top ten "enzyme (UPPS) plus 2-descriptor" combinations with their coefficients and relative contributions for the S. pneитопiae cell $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ predictions.

| Streptococcus pneumoniae (UPPS) pIC (cell) $=$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | Relative Importance of $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ Enzyme | Relative Importance of Descriptor B | Relative Importance of Descriptor C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2.36039 \\ & +0.09521 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & +5.57167 * \text { E_oop } \\ & +0.30641 * \operatorname{logS} \end{aligned}$ | 0.70859 | 0.206740 | 0.770366 | 1.000000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2.73916 \\ & -0.02058 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & -0.25615 * \text { dipoleY } \\ & -0.50252 * \text { SlogP } \end{aligned}$ | 0.65294 | 0.036773 | 0.664638 | 1.000000 |
| 4.02259 <br> -0.10469 * pIC $5_{50}$ Enzyme <br> +0.44931 * $\log \mathrm{S}$ <br> -0.00111 * pmiZ | 0.63628 | 0.155024 | 1.000000 | 0.380149 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1.93265 \\ & +0.02581 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & +5.82244 * \text { E_oop } \\ & -0.38762 * \text { SlogP } \end{aligned}$ | 0.63029 | 0.059775 | 0.858685 | 1.000000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2.91917 \\ & +0.05651 * \mathrm{pIC}_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & -0.16171 * \text { dipoleY } \\ & +0.33943 * \operatorname{logS} \end{aligned}$ | 0.61395 | 0.110774 | 0.460388 | 1.000000 |
| 3.29294 <br> +0.11608 * pIC $_{50}$ Enzyme <br> +8.55584 * E_oop <br> -0.01199 * vsa_hyd | 0.60705 | 0.213066 | 1.000000 | 0.682444 |
| 3.00970 <br> -0.02670 * pIC ${ }_{50}$ Enzyme <br> +0.30685 * $\log S$ <br> $-0.000145748 *$ pmiY | 0.59586 | 0.057891 | 1.000000 | 0.472137 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2.72405 \\ & +0.01829 * \mathrm{pIC}_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & +0.01022 * \mathrm{E} \text { nb } \\ & +0.37898 * \operatorname{logS} \end{aligned}$ | 0.59540 | 0.032109 | 0.334330 | 1.000000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0.97384 \\ & +0.21900 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & -0.000223701 * \text { pmiY } \\ & +6.34230 * \text { E_oop }^{2} \end{aligned}$ | 0.59461 | 0.542273 | 0.827566 | 1.000000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2.46641 \\ & +0.03924 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & +0.12363 * \text { E_str } \\ & +0.40087 * \operatorname{logS} \end{aligned}$ | 0.59423 | 0.065125 | 0.332503 | 1.000000 |

Table S5. Top ten "enzyme (MurI) plus 2-descriptor" combinations with their coefficients and relative contributions for the S. pneumoniae cell $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ predictions.

| Streptococcus pneumoniae (MurI) <br> $\mathrm{pIC}($ cell $)=$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2 \mathrm{a}}$ | Relative Importance of $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}(\text { enzyme })^{\text {a }}$ | Relative Importance of Descriptor B ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Relative Importance of Descriptor $\mathrm{C}^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 10.52552 \\ & +0.67868 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & -8.00526 * \text { FASA_H } \\ & -9.58941 \text { * Q_VSA_FPOL } \end{aligned}$ | 0.72033 | 0.760086 | 0.930312 | 1.000000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2.52026 \\ & +0.67868 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & -9.58941 * \text { Q_VSA_FPOL } \\ & +8.00526 \text { FASA_P } \end{aligned}$ | 0.72033 | 0.760086 | 1.000000 | 0.930312 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0.93611 \\ & +0.67868 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & -8.00526 * \text { FASA_H } \\ & +9.58941 * \text { Q_VSA_FHYD } \end{aligned}$ | 0.72033 | 0.760086 | 0.930312 | 1.000000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & -7.06915 \\ & +0.67868 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & +9.58941 * \text { Q_VSA_FHYD } \\ & +8.00526 * \text { FASA_P } \end{aligned}$ | 0.72033 | 0.760086 | 1.000000 | 0.930312 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1.11064 \\ & +0.73485 * \mathrm{pIC}_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & +0.00116 * \mathrm{pmiZ} \\ & -0.00189 * \text { DCASA } \end{aligned}$ | 0.70598 | 1.000000 | 0.352718 | 0.412965 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0.96166 \\ & +0.74218 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & -0.01333 * \text { ASA_H } \\ & +0.02650 \text { * Q_VSA_HYD } \end{aligned}$ | 0.70104 | 0.683156 | 1.000000 | 0.978195 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1.07105 \\ & +0.73955 * \mathrm{pIC}_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & +0.00121 * \text { pmiZ } \\ & -0.00932 * \text { DASA } \end{aligned}$ | 0.69820 | 1.000000 | 0.367214 | 0.401603 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5.68232 \\ & +0.68410 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & -5.36646 * \text { Q_VSA_FPOL } \\ & -0.00565709^{*} \text { ASA_H } \end{aligned}$ | 0.69080 | 1.000000 | 0.730430 | 0.673985 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0.31586 \\ & +0.68410 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & -0.00565708 * \text { ASA_H } \\ & +5.36646 * \text { Q_VSA_FHYD } \end{aligned}$ | 0.69080 | 1.000000 | 0.673985 | 0.730430 |
| $\begin{aligned} & -0.26123 \\ & +0.77505 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & -0.00154943 * \text { DCASA } \\ & +10.54584 * \text { PEOE_RPC }+ \end{aligned}$ | 0.68937 | 1.000000 | 0.261402 | 0.321590 |

[^0]Table S6. Top ten "enzyme (NS3 protease) plus 2-descriptor" combinations with their coefficients and relative contributions for the HCV replicon cell $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ predictions.

| Hepatitis C Virus (NS3 protease) pIC (cell) $=$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | Relative Importance of $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ (enzyme) | Relative Importance of Descriptor B | Relative Importance of Descriptor C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline-1.29239 \\ & +0.91301 * \text { pIC_Enzyme } \\ & +0.58847 * \log (\text { PAMPA }) \\ & -0.00017357 * \text { pmiY } \end{aligned}$ | 0.77744 | 0.730339 | 1.000000 | 0.305259 |
| $\begin{aligned} & -2.44335 \\ & +0.92235 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & +0.55294 * \log (\text { PAMPA }) \\ & +0.25772 * \log (\text { Caco- }) \end{aligned}$ | 0.74101 | 0.785205 | 1.000000 | 0.261151 |
| 0.11561 <br> +0.84966 * pIC $_{50}$ Enzyme <br> +0.55981 * $\log ($ PAMPA $)$ <br> -0.56438 * std dim2 | 0.73967 | 0.714448 | 1.000000 | 0.231869 |
| $\begin{aligned} & -2.11082 \\ & +0.91600 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & +0.58198 * \log (\text { PAMPA }) \\ & -0.16375 * \text { dipoleX } \end{aligned}$ | 0.73819 | 0.740897 | 1.000000 | 0.222535 |
| $\begin{aligned} & -1.07166 \\ & +0.92801 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & +0.62367 * \log (\text { PAMPA }) \\ & -0.24639 * \text { PEOE_PC+ } \end{aligned}$ | 0.73569 | 0.700433 | 1.000000 | 0.198231 |
| $\begin{aligned} & -1.43622 \\ & +0.92293 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & +0.65322 * \log (\text { PAMPA }) \\ & -0.06097 * \text { KierA2 } \end{aligned}$ | 0.73564 | 0.665088 | 1.000000 | 0.197280 |
| $\begin{aligned} & -1.52542 \\ & +0.92611 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & +0.64820 * \log (\text { PAMPA }) \\ & -0.04420 * \text { Kier2 } \end{aligned}$ | 0.73518 | 0.672545 | 1.000000 | 0.195686 |
| $-1.58484$ <br> +0.91365 * pIC $_{50}$ Enzyme <br> $+0.65058 * \log ($ PAMPA $)$ <br> -0.05945 * Kier3 | 0.73454 | 0.661071 | 1.000000 | 0.193573 |
| $\begin{aligned} & -1.85996 \\ & +0.96397 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & +0.61988 * \log (\text { PAMPA }) \\ & -0.00374 * \text { Q_VSA_PPOS } \end{aligned}$ | 0.73415 | 0.732031 | 1.000000 | 0.203505 |
| $\begin{aligned} & -1.61354 \\ & +0.95796 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & +0.61521 * \log (\text { PAMPA }) \\ & -0.00310 * \text { Q_VSA_POL } \end{aligned}$ | 0.73394 | 0.732983 | 1.000000 | 0.203058 |

Table S7. Top ten "enzyme (HIV-1 integrase) plus 2-descriptor" combinations with their coefficients and relative contributions for the HIV-1 integrase replicon cell $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ predictions.

| MT4 cells (HIV-1 integrase) $\text { pIC (cell) }=$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | Relative Importance of $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ (enzyme) | Relative Importance of Descriptor B | Relative Importance of Descriptor C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & -4.83173 \\ & +0.98896 * \text { pIC50_enzyme } \\ & +1.36334 * \text { pCC50 } \\ & -0.00697 * \text { Weight } \end{aligned}$ | 0.68808 | 0.892564 | 1.000000 | 0.526629 |
| $\begin{aligned} & -3.90054 \\ & +0.79998 * \text { pIC50_enzyme } \\ & +1.24225 * \text { pCC50 } \\ & -0.00990 * \text { vsa_hyd } \end{aligned}$ | 0.68552 | 0.792381 | 1.000000 | 0.520038 |
| $\begin{aligned} & -5.92926 \\ & +0.89119 * \text { pIC50_enzyme } \\ & +1.37520 * \text { pCC50 } \\ & -0.000147 * \text { pmi } \end{aligned}$ | 0.68063 | 0.797385 | 1.000000 | 0.489095 |
| $\begin{aligned} & -5.67093 \\ & +0.84883 * \text { pIC50_enzyme } \\ & +1.35713 * \text { pCC50 } \\ & -0.000166 * \text { pmiX } \end{aligned}$ | 0.67775 | 0.769595 | 1.000000 | 0.482305 |
| $\begin{aligned} & -2.59105 \\ & +0.71970 * \text { pIC50_enzyme } \\ & +1.22060 * \text { pCC50 } \\ & -0.30594 * \text { chilv } \end{aligned}$ | 0.67651 | 0.725509 | 1.000000 | 0.509833 |
| $\begin{aligned} & -5.53671 \\ & +0.85017 * \text { pIC50_enzyme } \\ & +1.34149 * \text { pCC50 } \\ & -0.19817 * \text { dipole } \end{aligned}$ | 0.67241 | 0.779798 | 1.000000 | 0.477547 |
| $\begin{aligned} & -5.45844 \\ & +0.84242 * \text { pIC50_enzyme } \\ & +1.33341 * \text { pCC50 } \\ & -0.19829 * \text { dipoleX } \end{aligned}$ | 0.67078 | 0.777374 | 1.000000 | 0.475734 |
| $\begin{aligned} & -2.07656 \\ & +0.67785 * \text { pIC50_enzyme } \\ & +1.16006 * \text { pCC50 } \\ & -0.00792 * \text { vol } \end{aligned}$ | 0.66766 | 0.718981 | 1.000000 | 0.519278 |
| $\begin{aligned} & -2.88642 \\ & +0.78860 * \text { pIC50_enzyme } \\ & +1.21043 * \text { pCC50 } \\ & -0.18150 * \text { chi0v } \end{aligned}$ | 0.66619 | 0.801639 | 1.000000 | 0.497274 |
| $\begin{aligned} & -6.15351 \\ & +0.91486 * \text { pIC50_enzyme } \\ & +1.35583 * \text { pCC50 } \\ & +0.20861 * \operatorname{logS} \end{aligned}$ | 0.66278 | 0.830251 | 1.000000 | 0.471726 |

Table S8. Top ten "enzyme (hlGP) plus 2-descriptor" combinations with their coefficients and relative contributions for the rat hepatocytes $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ predictions.

| Rat Hepatocytes (hlGP) pIC (cell) $=$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | Relative Importance of $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ (enzyme) | Relative Importance of Descriptor B | Relative Importance of Descriptor C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & -2.48325 \\ & +0.74485 \text { * pIC } 5 \text { Enzyme } \\ & +0.02112 * \text { PEOE_VSA_PNEG } \\ & +0.00797 * \text { Q_VSA_HYD } \end{aligned}$ | 0.71312 | 1.000000 | 0.671022 | 0.456010 |
| $\begin{aligned} & -2.61987 \\ & +0.81722 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & +0.01567 * \text { PEOE_VSA_PNEG }^{2} \\ & +0.38040 * \text { E_str } \end{aligned}$ | 0.70675 | 1.000000 | 0.453849 | 0.360041 |
| $\begin{aligned} & -2.47800 \\ & +0.77133 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & +0.02157 * \text { PEOE_VSA_PNEG } \\ & +0.00356 * \text { ASA_H } \end{aligned}$ | 0.70330 | 1.000000 | 0.661853 | 0.414954 |
| $\begin{aligned} & -3.30393 \\ & +0.77214 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & +0.02442 * \text { PEOE_VSA_PNEG } \\ & +3.06864 * \text { FASA_H } \end{aligned}$ | 0.70198 | 1.000000 | 0.748269 | 0.455019 |
| $\begin{aligned} & -0.23529 \\ & +0.77214 * \text { pIC }_{5} \text { Enzzyme } \\ & +0.02442 * \text { PEOE_VSA_PNEG }^{2} \\ & -3.06864 * \text { FASA_P } \end{aligned}$ | 0.70198 | 1.000000 | 0.748269 | 0.455019 |
| $-7.57118$ <br> $+0.89039 *$ pIC $_{50}$ Enzyme <br> +0.01755 * PEOE_VSA_PNEG <br> -2.55393 * BCUT PEOE 0 | 0.70019 | 1.000000 | 0.466411 | 0.329457 |
| $\begin{aligned} & -8.83556 \\ & +0.83245 * \text { pIC }{ }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & +0.01546 * \text { PEOE_VSA_PNEG } \\ & +2.90552 * \text { GCUT_SMR_3 }^{2} \end{aligned}$ | 0.69768 | 1.000000 | 0.439538 | 0.327327 |
| $\begin{aligned} & -7.31859 \\ & +2.61295 * \text { GCUT_SLOGP_3 } \\ & +0.79188 * \text { pIC }{ }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & +0.01647 * \text { PEOE_VSA_PNEG } \end{aligned}$ | 0.69753 | 1.000000 | 0.345396 | 0.492133 |
| $-7.31859$ <br> +0.79188 * pIC $_{50}$ Enzyme <br> +0.01647 * PEOE_VSA_PNEG <br> +2.61295 * GCUT_SLOGP_3 | 0.69753 | 1.000000 | 0.492133 | 0.345396 |
| $\begin{aligned} & -2.00842 \\ & +0.73115 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & +0.01897 * \text { PEOEVSA_PNEG } \\ & +0.00634 * \text { vsa_hyd } \end{aligned}$ | 0.69664 | 1.000000 | 0.614097 | 0.397957 |

Table S9. Top ten "enzyme (KDR kinase) plus 2-descriptor" combinations with their coefficients and relative contributions for the NIH3T3 cell $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ predictions.

| NIH 3T3 (KDR, type III receptor tyrosine kinase) pIC (cell) $=$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | Relative Importance of $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ (enzyme) | Relative Importance of Descriptor B | Relative Importance of Descriptor C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0.82478 \\ & +0.85331 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & +6.56543 * \text { PEOE_VSA_FPOL } \\ & +0.05504 * \text { E_tor } \end{aligned}$ | 0.60006 | 1.000000 | 0.494191 | 0.718790 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0.66511 \\ & +0.86124 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & +0.05066 * \text { E_tor } \\ & +12.60955 * \text { PEOE_VSA_FPNEG } \end{aligned}$ | 0.59907 | 1.000000 | 0.655445 | 0.485462 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 9.32007 \\ & +0.79676 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & -18.85532 * \text { PEOE_RPC- } \\ & -0.06827 \text { apol } \end{aligned}$ | 0.59487 | 0.766096 | 0.760710 | 1.000000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 10.76167 \\ & +0.82694 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & -19.03382 * \text { PEOE_RPC- } \\ & -0.00815127 * \text { ASA } \end{aligned}$ | 0.59352 | 0.795265 | 0.768062 | 1.000000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 10.23883 \\ & +0.80199 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & -20.80145 * \text { PEOE_RPC- } \\ & -0.00895460 * \text { vdw_vol } \end{aligned}$ | 0.59328 | 0.730389 | 0.794900 | 1.000000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 10.31493 \\ & +0.79311 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & -20.41614 * \text { PEOE_RPC- } \\ & -0.01264080 * \text { vol } \end{aligned}$ | 0.59276 | 0.729847 | 0.788320 | 1.000000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 10.31493 \\ & +0.79311 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & -20.41614 * \text { PEOE_RPC- } \\ & -0.01264080 * \text { vol } \end{aligned}$ | 0.58789 | 0.708545 | 0.798883 | 1.000000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & -0.21236 \\ & +0.91159 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & +0.03452 * \mathrm{E} \\ & -0.05235 * \text { E_nb } \end{aligned}$ | 0.58380 | 0.500955 | 0.743845 | 1.000000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1.32645 \\ & +0.89890 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & -0.01124 * \text { E_nb } \\ & +0.04199 * \text { E_tor } \end{aligned}$ | 0.58330 | 1.000000 | 0.434552 | 0.520573 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2.55668 \\ & +0.72281 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & -0.75267 * \text { KierA3 } \\ & +1.19503 * \text { PEOE_PC+ } \end{aligned}$ | 0.58114 | 0.783185 | 1.000000 | 0.775189 |

Table S10. Top ten "enzyme (Akt kinase) plus 2-descriptor" combinations with their coefficients and relative contributions for the MiaPaCa-2 cell $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ predictions.

| MiaPaca-2 human pancreatic cancer cells (Akt Kinase) $\mathrm{pIC}($ cell $)=$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | Relative Importance of $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ Enzyme | Relative Importance of Descriptor B | Relative Importance of Descriptor C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4.33712 \\ & +0.45265 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & -0.0000929650 * \text { pmiX } \\ & -4.23429 * \text { GCUT_SLOGP_2 } \end{aligned}$ | 0.68326 | 1.000000 | 0.556839 | 0.424186 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2.55169 \\ & +0.44882 * \text { pIC_Enzyme } \\ & -0.000099391 * \text { pmi } \\ & +0.00253 * \text { ASA_H } \end{aligned}$ | 0.68020 | 1.000000 | 0.711354 | 0.499224 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4.44515 \\ & +0.39949 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & -0.0000873893 \text { * pmiX } \\ & -0.02504 \text { * E_ele } \end{aligned}$ | 0.67971 | 1.000000 | 0.593093 | 0.458662 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4.23090 \\ & +0.42619 * \mathrm{pIC}_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & -0.0000879041 * \text { pmiX } \\ & -1.87949 * \text { FASA_P } \end{aligned}$ | 0.67557 | 1.000000 | 0.559220 | 0.423219 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2.35141 \\ & +0.42619 * \mathrm{pIC}_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & -0.0000879041 * \text { pmiX } \\ & +1.87949 \text { * FASA_H } \end{aligned}$ | 0.67557 | 1.000000 | 0.559220 | 0.423219 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4.65687 \\ & +0.41086 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & -0.0000778479 * \text { pmi } \\ & -4.34328 * \text { GCUT_SLOGP_2 } \end{aligned}$ | 0.67513 | 1.000000 | 0.608637 | 0.479355 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4.54500 \\ & +0.38640 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & -1.96877 * \text { FASA_P } \\ & -0.0000739953 * \text { pmi } \end{aligned}$ | 0.67115 | 1.000000 | 0.488967 | 0.615143 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2.57623 \\ & +0.38640 * \text { pIC }_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & -0.0000739953 * \text { pmi } \\ & +1.96877 \text { * FASA_H } \end{aligned}$ | 0.67115 | 1.000000 | 0.615143 | 0.488967 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4.34247 \\ & +0.40352 * \text { pIC_Enzyme } \\ & -0.0025494 * \text { ASA_P } \\ & -0.0000831233 * \text { pmiX } \end{aligned}$ | 0.67007 | 1.000000 | 0.435308 | 0.558505 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4.71925 \\ & +0.35970 * \mathrm{pIC}_{50} \text { Enzyme } \\ & -0.0000719880 * \text { pmi } \\ & -0.02523 * \text { E_ele }^{\text {el }} \end{aligned}$ | 0.66794 | 1.000000 | 0.642875 | 0.513363 |



Figure S1. Correlation plots for the cell and enzyme assays, and predicted cell activities from the training and test set data in Streptococcus pneumoniae (MurI) (ac), Hu-7 (HCV NS3 protease replication) (d-f) and MT4 (HIV-1 integrase) (g-i). (a) Plot showing the correlation between cell (S. pneumoniae) $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ and enzyme (MurI) $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ values. (b) Correlation between predicted cell $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ values (from the best combination of enzyme plus two molecular descriptors) for the training set, with the experimental $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$. (c) Correlation between test set $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ predictions obtained from a leave-two-out analysis. (d) Plot showing the correlation between cell (HCV/Hu-7) $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ and enzyme (HCV NS3 protease) $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ values. (e) Correlation between predicted cell $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ values (from the best combination of enzyme plus two molecular descriptors) for the training set, with the experimental $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$. (f) Correlation between test set $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ predictions obtained from a leave-two-out analysis. (g) Plot showing the correlation between cell (HIV/MT4) $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ and enzyme (HIV-1 integrase) $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ values. (h) Correlation between predicted cell $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ values (from the best combination of enzyme plus two molecular descriptors) for the training set, with the experimental $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$. (i) Correlation between test set $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ predictions obtained from a leave-two-out analysis.


Figure S2. Correlation plots for the cell and enzyme assays, and predicted cell activities from the training and test set data in rat hepatocytes (hlGP) (a-c), NIH3T3 (KDR kinase) (d-f) and MiaPaCa-2 (Akt kinase) (g-i). (a) Plot showing the correlation between cell (Rat hepatocytes) pIC50 and enzyme (hlGP) pIC50 values. (b) Correlation between predicted cell $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ values (from the best combination of enzyme plus two molecular descriptors) for the training set, with the experimental $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$. (c) Correlation between test set $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ predictions obtained from a leave-two-out analysis. (d) Plot showing the correlation between cell (NIH 3T3) $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ and enzyme (KDR kinase) $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ values. (e) Correlation between predicted cell $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ values (from the best combination of enzyme plus two molecular descriptors) for the training set, with the experimental $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$. (f) Correlation between test set $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ predictions obtained from a leave-two-out analysis. (g) Plot showing the correlation between cell (MiaPaCa-2) $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ and enzyme (Akt kinase) pIC50 values. (h) Correlation between predicted cell $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ values (from the best combination of enzyme plus two molecular descriptors) for the training set, with the experimental $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$. (i) Correlation between test set $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ predictions obtained from a leave-two-out analysis.
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